

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,448	04/17/2001	Michael McClary	004906.P030	2389	
7:	590 11/20/2002				
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafmann			EXAMINER		
12400 Wilshire Seventh Floor	Blvd	STEVENS, ROBERTA A			
Los Angeles, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2665		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Applicat		Applicant(s)			
		09/837,4	148	MCCLARY ET AL			
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit			
	•						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed o	nn 12 August 20	n2				
		☐ This action i					
, _	,-	_		ers prosecution as to th	ie merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clai							
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	is/are objected to are subject to restriction	and/or alastian	roquiromont				
Application Papers	<u> </u>	and/or election	requirement.				
_	cation is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
	g(s) filed on is/are: a)[objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Cer	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.☐ Cer	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of Reference Notice of Draftsper Information Disclose	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) No(s) <u>11</u> .		mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(ormal Patent Application (PTC			

Application/Control Number: 09/837,448

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 1.

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 8, 16, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Enns

(U.S. 5128945).

Enns teaches (columns 5-6 and figure 7) a method and apparatus for a line card in a

network element comprising; a deframer unit to receive a TDM signal, including a payload and

overhead, the deframer to generate frame alignment data based on the overhead data; a packet

engine unit coupled to the deframer, to receive the payload overhead a alignment data to

generate packet engine packets representing a frame within the TDM signal; a packer processor

coupled to the deframer to receive the packet engine packets and generate network packets.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/837,448

Art Unit: 2665

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 2-7, 9-15, 17-26 and 28-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enns

Regarding claims 2-7, 9-15, 17-19, 22-26, 28-31 and 36-38, as mentioned above, Enns teaches all of the limitations of claims 1 and 8.

As for DS-1, DS-3, DS0, DS-0, E3 and J1 signals are concerned, they are all well known in the art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to adapt to Enns' system as a matter of design choice.

Regarding claims 20 and 32, as for packets including Internet Protocol, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to apply this concept to Enns' system as a matter of design choice.

Regarding claim 21 and 33-35, Enns teaches (figure 7 and columns 5-6) a method and Apparatus for a line card in a network element comprising; a deframer unit to receive a TDM signal, including a payload and overhead, the deframer to generate frame alignment data based on the overhead data; a packet engine unit coupled to the deframer, to receive the payload overhead a alignment data to generate packet engine packets representing a frame within the

Application/Control Number: 09/837,448

Art Unit: 2665

TDM signal; a packer processor coupled to the deframer to receive the packet engine packets and

generate network packets.

Enns does not teach a second TDM signal and packet engine. However it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to adapt this concept to Enns' system to

accommodate different types of TDM signals.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Enns (U.S. 5072449) and See (U.S. 6466591 B1) are cited to show the state of the

art.

7. Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Roberta Stevens whose telephone number is (703) 308-6607. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor can be reached on (703) 308-6602.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Page 4

Art Unit: 2665

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

For informal draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA. Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Roberta A. Stevens

Patent Examiner

11-14-02

ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alpro 15, rgo